

The Open University of Israel
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science

Approximating survivable networks with β quasi metric costs

Thesis submitted as partial fulfillment of the requirements
towards an M.Sc. degree in Computer Science

The Open University of Israel
Computer Science Division

By
Johnny David

Prepared under the supervision of Prof. Zeev Nutov

Spetember 2011

האוניברסיטה הפתוחה
המחלקה למתמטיקה ולמדעי המחשב

קירוב בעיות קישוריות במרחבים β -מטרים

עבודת תזה זו הוגשה כחלק מהדרישות לקבלת תואר

”מוסמך למדעים” M.Sc. במדעי המחשב

באוניברסיטה הפתוחה

החטיבה למדעי המחשב

על-ידי

”יהונתן דוד”

העבודה הוכנה בהדרכתו של פרופ' נוטוב

ספטמבר 2011

תקציר

בעיית (SND) Survivable Network Design מחפשת תת-גרף בעלות מינימלית כך שדרישות

מסויימות לקישוריות בין צמתים יסופקו. אנו מציגים אלגוריתמי קירוב ל-SND (ומספר

מקרים פרטיים) בגרפים מלאים (כל הקשתות קיימות) מכוונים ולא מכוונים עם עלויות על הקשתות

המקיימות את β -אי-שיויון המשולש: $c(zx) \leq \beta[c(xy) + c(yz)]$ לכל $x, y, z \in V$, בטווח

של הכל ממרחב שבו עלויות כל הקשתות שוות כאשר $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$, למרחב מטרי כאשר $\beta = 1$.

התוצאות שלנו עבור k -Connected Subgraph (k -CS) הם קירוב של $1 + \frac{2\beta}{k(1-\beta)} - \frac{1}{2k-1}$ עבור

גרפים לא מכוונים וקירוב של $1 + \frac{4\beta^3}{k(1-\beta^2)} - \frac{1}{2k-1}$ עבור גרפים מכוונים בטווח של $\frac{1}{2} \leq \beta \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$.

התוצאות עבור גרפים לא מכוונים משפרות תוצאות קודמות של $\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}$ [2] ו- $2 + \beta \frac{k}{n}$ [10] עבור על

$k \geq 4$ ו- $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2k} \leq \beta \leq \frac{k^2}{(k+1)^2-2}$. עבור המקרה הכללי (SND) אנו מציגים קירוב של $\frac{2\beta}{1-\beta}$

עבור גרפים לא מכוונים וקירוב של $\frac{4\beta^3}{1-3\beta^2}$ עבור גרפים מכוונים בטווח של $\frac{1}{2} \leq \beta \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$. אנו

מציגים קירוב טוב יותר עבור שני מקרים פרטיים חשובים: קירוב של $\frac{2\beta^3}{1-3\beta^2}$ עבור SND מושרש,

וקירוב של $\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}$ עבור Subset k -CS.

תוכן העניינים

4	1. מבוא
4	1.1. תיאור הבעיה
4	2.1. עבודות קודמות
5	3.1. התוצאות שלנו
6	4.1. סימונים בעבודה זו
7	2. גרפי הררי ומרחבים β-מטרים
7	1.2. גרפי הררי
9	2.2. הוכחת למה 2.1
11	3. הוכחת משפט 1.1
13	4. הוכחת משפט 1.2
13	1.4. המקרה הכללי SND
14	2.4. בעיית ה Subset k -CS
15	3.4. בעיית SND במקרה המכוון ומושרש
17	5. מסקנות
18	6. מקורות

Abstract

The Survivable Network Design (SND) problem seeks a minimum-cost subgraph that satisfies prescribed node-connectivity requirement. We consider SND on both directed and undirected complete graphs with β -metric costs, that is, $c(xz) \leq \beta[c(xy) + c(yz)]$ for all $x, y, z \in V$, which varies from uniform costs ($\beta = 1/2$) to metric costs ($\beta = 1$). For directed graphs our results are valid in the range $\frac{1}{2} \leq \beta < \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$. Our approximation ratios are: $\frac{2\beta}{1-\beta}$ for undirected graphs and $\frac{4\beta^3}{1-3\beta^2}$ for directed graphs. For k -Connected Subgraph (k -CS) our approximation ratios are: $1 + \frac{2\beta}{k(1-\beta)}$ for undirected graphs and $\min\{1 + \frac{4\beta^3}{k(1-3\beta^2)}, \frac{2\beta^3}{1-3\beta^2}\}$ for directed graphs. For undirected graphs this improves the approximation ratios $\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}$ of [2] and $2 + \beta\frac{k}{n}$ of [9] for all $\beta \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2(k-1)}$.

Acknowledgments

I wish to thank my thesis advisor, Prof. Zeev Nutov, for excellent guidness, and for providing numerous ideas, valuable suggestions, help in making useful discussions, and his encouragement during this study. Your guidness has significantly improved the way I approach optimization problems.

1 Introduction

1.1 Problem definition

For a graph H let $\kappa_H(u, v)$ denote the uv -connectivity of H , that is the maximum number of internally disjoint uv -paths. We consider the following problem:

Survivable Network Design (SND)

Instance: A directed/undirected graph $G = (V, E)$, edge-cost $\{c(e) : e \in E\}$, and connectivity requirements $\{r(u, v) : u, v \in V\}$.

Objective: Find a minimum-cost subgraph H of G satisfying

$$\kappa_H(u, v) \geq r(u, v) \quad \forall u, v \in V. \quad (1)$$

A graph H is k -connected if $\kappa_H(u, v) \geq k$, $\forall u, v \in V$. An important particular cases of SND is the k -Connected Subgraph (k -CS) problem, when $r(u, v) = k$ for all $u, v \in V$.

We consider instances of SND and k -CS with β -metric costs, namely, when the input graph is complete and the costs satisfy the β -triangle inequality:

$$c(xz) \leq \beta(c(xy) + c(yz)) \quad \forall x, y, z \in V \quad (2)$$

When $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$ the costs are uniform, and we have the ‘‘cardinality version’’ of the problem. When $\beta = 1$ the costs satisfy the triangle inequality and we have the metric version of the problem. Many practical instances of the problem may have costs which are between metric and uniform.

Here is some notation used in the paper. Let $k = \max_{u, v \in V} r(u, v)$ denote the maximum requirement of an SND instance. Given an SND instance $G = (V, E), c, r$ we will assume that $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$. For undirected graphs, the *requirement* r_i of v_i is the maximum requirement of a pair containing v_i . For directed graphs $r_i^{out} = \max_{v_j \in V} r(v_i, v_j)$ is the *out-requirement* of v_i , and $r_i^{in} = \max_{v_j \in V} r(v_j, v_i)$ is the *in-requirement* of v_i . Throughout the paper we fix some optimal solution J . In the case of directed graphs let J_i^{out} and J_i^{in} be the set of edges in J leaving and entering v_i , respectively. In the case of undirected graphs, let J_i be the set of edges in J incident to v_i . We will often use the following statement:

Lemma 1 ([1, 3]) *Let e, e' be a pair of edges in a complete graph G with β -metric costs.*

- *If G is undirected, and if e, e' are adjacent then $c(e) \leq \frac{\beta}{1-\beta}c(e')$.*
- *If G is directed, and if $\frac{1}{2} \leq \beta \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$, then $c(e) \leq \frac{2\beta^3}{1-3\beta^2}c(e')$.*

Costs	Requirements	Approximability	
		Undirected	Directed
general	general	$O(\min\{k^3 \log n, n^2\}$ [7], $\Omega(k^\epsilon)$ [6]	$\Omega(2^{\log^{1-\epsilon} n})$ [8]
general	k -CS	$O(\log \frac{n}{n-k} \log k)$ [12]	$O(\log \frac{n}{n-k} \log k)$ [12]
metric	general	$O(\log k)$ [5]	$\Omega(2^{\log^{1-\epsilon} n})$ [8]
metric	k -CS	$2 + (k - 1)/n$ [9]	$2 + k/n$ [9]
β -metric	general	–	–
β -metric	k -CS	$2 + \beta \frac{k}{n}$ [9], $\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}$ [2]	–

Table 1: Approximation ratios and hardness results for SND and k -CS.

1.2 Previous work

k -CS (and thus also SND) is known to be APX-hard [1]. Approximation ratios and hardness results for SND and k -CS are summarized in Table 1. We note that in [2] is also given a $(1 + \frac{5(2\beta-1)}{9(1-\beta)})$ -approximation algorithm for undirected 3-CS with β -metric costs. For a survey on various min-cost connectivity problems see [10]. We also mention a recent result [11] that for $k = n/2 + k'$ the approximability of the undirected SND is the same as of the directed SND with maximum requirement k' . This is so also for k -CS.

1.3 Our results

For β -metric costs, we obtain the first algorithms for SND, and for k -CS on directed graphs. For k -CS on undirected graphs, we improve the previously known ratios.

Theorem 2 *SND with β -metric costs admits the following approximation ratios: $\frac{2\beta}{1-\beta}$ for undirected graphs, and $\frac{4\beta^3}{1-3\beta^2}$ for directed graphs with $1/2 \leq \beta \leq 1/\sqrt{3}$.*

We analyze the performance of the algorithm of Cheriyan & Thurimella [4] originally suggested for k -CS with $1, \infty$ -costs, and show that for β -metric costs it achieves the following ratios:

Theorem 3 *k -CS with β -metric costs admits the following approximation ratios: $1 + \frac{2\beta}{k(1-\beta)}$ for undirected graphs, and $\min\{1 + \frac{4\beta^3}{k(1-3\beta^2)}, \frac{2\beta^3}{1-3\beta^2}\}$ for directed graphs and $1/2 \leq \beta \leq 1/\sqrt{3}$.*

2 Proof of Theorem 2

The proof of the theorem is based on the following simple statement.

Lemma 4 *Let $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ be a node set, and for $i = 1, \dots, n$ let $r_i^{out}, r_i^{in} \leq n - 1$ be non-negative integers. Let A_i^{out} be the set of edges from v_i to the first $r_i^{out}(v_i)$ nodes in $V - \{v_i\}$, and A_i^{in} be the set of edges from the first $r_i^{out}(v_i)$ nodes in $V - \{v_i\}$ to v_i . Namely:*

$$A_i^{out} = \begin{cases} \{v_i v_j : 1 \leq j \leq r(v_i)\} & \text{if } r^{out}(v_i) < i \\ \{v_i v_j : 1 \leq j \leq r(v_i) + 1, j \neq i\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$A_i^{in} = \begin{cases} \{v_j v_i : 1 \leq j \leq r(v_i)\} & \text{if } r^{in}(v_i) < i \\ \{v_j v_i : 1 \leq j \leq r(v_i) + 1, j \neq i\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then for any $i \neq j$, the graph $H_{ij} = (V, A_i^{out} \cup A_j^{in})$ contains at least $\min\{r_i^{out}, r_j^{in}\}$ internally disjoint $v_i v_j$ -paths.

Proof: Note that there is a set C of $\min\{r(v_i), r(v_j)\} - 1$ nodes so that in H_{ij} there is an edge from v_i to every node in C and from every node in C to v_j ; furthermore, either $v_i v_j \in H_{ij}$ or $v_i v_j$ there is one more node that can be added to C . The statement follows. \square

The algorithm is as follows. In the case of directed graphs, we compute the edge sets A_i^{out} and A_i^{in} as in Lemma 4, and output their union graph H . In the case of undirected graphs, we consider the directed problem on the bi-direction of G with the requirements $r^{in}(v_i) = 0$ for all i , $r^{out}(v_i, v_j) = \max\{r(v_i, v_j), r(v_j, v_i)\}$ for $i > j$ and $r^{out}(v_i, v_j) = 0$ otherwise. For both directed and undirected graphs we have $\kappa_H(v_i, v_j) \geq \min\{r(v_i), r(v_j)\} \geq r(v_i, v_j)$, hence H is a feasible solution.

To establish the approximation ratio, we will use Lemma 1. In the case of directed graphs, note that $|J_i^{out}| \geq r_i^{out}$ and $|J_i^{in}| \geq r_i^{in}$, while $|A_i^{out}| = r_i^{out}$ and $|A_i^{in}| = r_i^{in}$. Hence $c(A_i^{out}) \leq \frac{2\beta^3}{1-3\beta^2} c(J_i^{out})$ and $c(A_i^{in}) \leq \frac{2\beta^3}{1-3\beta^2} c(J_i^{in})$, by Lemma 1. Thus

$$c(H) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n (c(A_i^{out}) + c(A_i^{in})) \leq \frac{2\beta^3}{1-3\beta^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (c(J_i^{out}) + c(J_i^{in})) \leq \frac{4\beta^3}{1-3\beta^2} c(J) = \frac{4\beta^3}{1-3\beta^2} \text{opt}.$$

In the case of undirected graphs, let A_i be the set of edges in H corresponding to A_i^{out} in its directed variant. Note that $|A_i| = r(v_i)$ and $|J_i| \geq r_i$ for all i . Hence $c(A_i) \leq \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} c(J_i)$, by Lemma 1. Thus

$$c(H) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n c(A_i) \leq \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \sum_{i=1}^n c(J_i) \leq \frac{2\beta}{1-\beta} c(J) = \frac{2\beta}{1-\beta} \text{opt}.$$

3 Proof of Theorem 3

Let $F \subseteq E$ be an edge set defined as follows. In the case of undirected graphs, the degree of every node in the graph (V, F) is at least $k - 1$; in the case of directed graphs, both the indegree and the outdegree of every node is at least $k - 1$. Such F of minimum costs can be computed in polynomial time, for both directed and undirected graphs, c.f. [13]. Clearly, $c(F) \leq \text{opt}$. Now let $I \subseteq E - F$ be an inclusion minimal augmenting edge set so that $H = (V, F + I)$ is k -connected. It is known that I is a forest in the case of undirected graphs, and $|I| \leq 2n - 1$ in the case of directed graphs.

In the case of undirected graphs, since I is a forest, there exists an orientation D of I so that the outdegree of every node w.r.t. D is at most 1. Let D_i be the set of edges in D leaving v_i , so either $D_i = \emptyset$ or $|D_i| = 1$ for all i . As $J_i \geq k$, we have $c(D_i) \leq c(J_i) \frac{\beta}{k(1-\beta)}$, by Lemma 1. Hence

$$c(I) = \sum_{i=1}^n c(D_i) \leq \frac{\beta}{k(1-\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^n c(J_i) \leq \frac{2\beta}{k(1-\beta)} c(J) = \frac{2\beta}{k(1-\beta)} \text{opt}.$$

Consequently, $c(H) = c(F) + c(I) \leq \text{opt} + \frac{2\beta}{k(1-\beta)} \text{opt} = (1 + \frac{2\beta}{k(1-\beta)}) \cdot \text{opt}$.

In the case of directed graphs, $|I| \leq 2n - 1$. As any feasible solution has at least kn edges, we have

$$c(I) \leq \frac{2n-1}{kn} \cdot \frac{2\beta^3}{1-3\beta^2} \cdot \text{opt} \leq \frac{4\beta^3}{k(1-3\beta^2)} \cdot \text{opt}.$$

Consequently, $c(H) = c(F) + c(I) \leq \text{opt} + \frac{4\beta^3}{k(1-3\beta^2)} \cdot \text{opt} = (1 + \frac{4\beta^3}{k(1-3\beta^2)}) \cdot \text{opt}$.

Our additional algorithm for directed k -CS returns a graph H as in the following lemma.

Lemma 5 *Let $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ be a node set and let $k \leq n - 1$ be an integer. Let A_i to be the set of edges from v_i to the nodes $v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}, \dots, v_{i+k}$ where the indices are modulo n . Then the graph $H = (V, E')$ where $E' = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$ is k -connected.*

Proof:

□

Note that $|J_i^{\text{out}}| \geq k$ while $|A_i| = k$ for all i . Hence

$$c(H) = \sum_{i=1}^n c(A_i) \leq \frac{2\beta^3}{1-3\beta^2} \sum_{i=1}^n c(J_i) = \frac{2\beta^3}{1-3\beta^2} c(J) = \frac{2\beta^3}{1-3\beta^2} \text{opt}.$$

4 Improving k -CS

Lemma 6 *There exists a minimum cost k -edge cover H such that $k \leq d(v) \leq k + 1$ for all $v \in V_H$.*

PROOF MISSING

Lemma 7 *Let G, c be a graph with metric costs c on the edges, if H is minimum cost k -edge cover and F is a minimum cost $(k - 1)$ -edge cover then $c(F) \leq \frac{2k-1}{2k}c(H)$.*

Proof: Let H be a minimum costs k -edge cover such that $\forall v \in V, k \leq d(v) \leq k + 1$, the following procedure will find a matching M such that $F' = H - M$ is a $(k - 1)$ -edge cover such that $c(F') \leq \frac{2k+1}{2k+2}c(H)$.

Start with an empty edge set M , and iteratively do the following: choose the most expensive edge $e \in H$ add it to M and remove both incident nodes and all edges incident to them.

Let $F' = H - M$, M is clearly a matching, F' is a $(k - 1)$ -edge cover and $c(F') = c(H) - c(M)$. For every edge added to M we removed at most $2k + 1$ edges from H hence $c(M) \geq \frac{1}{2k+1}c(F') = \frac{1}{2k+1}(c(H) - c(M))$, and therefore $c(M) \geq \frac{1}{2k+2}c(H)$ and

$$c(F') = c(H) - c(M) \leq \frac{2k+1}{2k+2}c(H)$$

□

5 Rooted Directed

The rooted-SND problem is the variant where all of the requirements are from a single node. Let terminals $T \subseteq V$ be a set of nodes with positive requirement (excluding the root).

Lemma 8 *Any solution for rooted directed SND has at least $\sum_{v \in V} r_{in}(v) + \max(k, |T|) - |T|$ edges.*

Proof: If $|T| \geq k$ then:

$$\sum_{v \in V} r_{in}(v) + \max(k, |T|) - |T| = \sum_{v \in V} r_{in}(v)$$

and clearly any node must have incoming degree \geq then it's incoming requirement.

If $|T| < k$ then again each node must have incoming degree \geq then it's incoming requirement, and the root must have at least k outgoing edges, $|T|$ of which can shared with the terminals hence there are at least $k - |T|$ edges other than all the edges incident to the terminals:

$$\sum_{v \in V} r_{in}(v) + \max(k, |T|) - |T| = \sum_{v \in V} r_{in}(v) + k - |T|$$

□

For a rooted-SND problem G, c, r , let $V = \{v_0, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$, and let assume w.l.o.g. that v_0 is the root, $r(v_i) > 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq |T|$ and $r(v_i) = 0$ for $|T| < i < n$.

We construct $H = (V, E')$ where $E' = \bigcup_{i=0}^{|T|} A_i$, where

$$A_0 = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } |T| > k \\ \{v_1 v_j : |T| + 1 < j \leq k + 1\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

And $\forall 1 \leq i \leq |T|$, A_i is defined as following:

$$A_i = \begin{cases} \{v_0 v_j : 1 \leq j \leq r_{in}(v_i)\} & \text{if } r_{in}(v_i) < i \\ \{v_0 v_j : 1 \leq j \leq r_{in}(v_i) + 1, j \neq i\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Now $|A_0| = \max(k, |T|) - |T|$, and $\forall 1 \leq i \leq |T|$, $|A_i| = r_{in}(v_i)$. So by definition $|E'| = \sum_{v \in V} r_{in}(v) + \max(k, |T|) - |T|$.

And $\forall 1 \leq i \leq |T|$, $\kappa_H(v_0, v_i) \geq r(v_0, v_i)$, since the following k disjoint $v_0 v_i$ paths exists in H : $\langle v_0, v_j, v_i \rangle$ for $1 \leq j \leq r(v_n, v_i)$.

Applying the gap in directed graphs with β -TI we have:

$$c(E') \leq \frac{2\beta^3}{1 - 3\beta^2} c(I) = \frac{2\beta^3}{1 - 3\beta^2} \text{opt}$$

6 subset k -CS

Let $T \subseteq V$ be the set of terminals, and $t = |T|$. The case where $t > k$ can be solved as if it was k -CS due to the β -TI costs. So in this section we will allways refer to the case where $t \leq k$.

Lemma 9 • *For directed graphs, any solution must have at least $2kt - t^2 + t$ edges.*

- *For undirected graphs, any solution must have at least $kt - \frac{t^2-t}{2}$ edges, and every node is incident to at least $k - t + 1$ nodes in $V - T$.*

Proof:

- In any solution each node is incidet to at least k outgoing edges and k incoming edges, a total of $2kt$ edges. Since between the terminals there can be only $t(t-1)$ edges then at most $t(t-1)$ where counted twice, hence there must be at least $2kt - t(t-1) = 2kt - t^2 + t$ edges.
- In any solution each node is incidet to at least k edges, a total of kt edges. Since between the terminals there can be only $t(t-1)/2$ edges then at most $\frac{t(t-1)}{2}$ where counted twice, hence there must be at least $kt - \frac{t(t-1)}{2} = 2kt - \frac{t^2+t}{2}$ edges. And since each node have k edges and can incidet to no more then $t-1$ terminals then it is incidet to at least $k - t + 1$ nodes in $V - T$.

□

To solve subset k -CS we choose an arbitrary set of edges $U \subseteq V$, such that $T \subset U$ and $|U| = k+1$, and we connect each terminal to all the other nodes in U . In the directed version we add edges for each terminal to and from any other node in U .

For the directed graphs the solution contains exactly $2kt - t^2 + t$ edges and therefore costs at most $\frac{2\beta^3}{1-\beta t^2} \text{opt}$.

For the undirected version, each node is incident to $k - t + 1$ which can be compared to similar $k - t + 1$ edges incident to that node. And the $t - 1$ edges which can be counted half since they appear twice which can be compared to the $t - 1$ edges incident to that edge that left in the optimal solution.

7 Conclusions

We have analyzed and shown that the algorithm of Cheriyan & Thurimella [4] achieves $1 + \frac{2\beta}{k(1-\beta)} - 12k - 1$, and $1 + \frac{4\beta^3}{k(1-3\beta^2)} - 12k - 1$ for undirected and directed k -CS with β quasi metric costs.

We used Harray construction for undirected k -CS, and provided explicit construction for directed k -CS, undirected **subset** k -CS, directed **subset** k -CS. and directed **rooted** SND. All of which gives an optimal solution when the edge costs are uniform. For the general case of SND we provided an explicit construction that achieves 2-approximation for uniform costs.

Using those construction, and properties of β quasi metric we provided approximation ratios for **subset** k -CS, SND, and an improvement for **rooted** SND with directed graphs.

Still some questions remains unanswered. Is there any explicit construction for SND with uniform costs that provide an optimal solution?, and if not is there a better approximation than 2?. Are there any better approximation for the problems of **subset** k -CS, k -CS, and SND?. And for the directed SND, is there any approximation for $\beta > \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$?, note that for $\beta = 1$ there is a lower bound of $\Omega(2^{\log^{1-\epsilon} n})$ [1].

References

- [1] H. J. Bockenhauer, D. Bongartz, J. Hromkovic, R. Klasing, G. Proietti, S. Seibert, and W. Unger. On the hardness of constructing minimal 2-connected spanning subgraphs in complete graphs with sharpened triangle inequality. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 326:137–153, 2000.
- [2] H. J. Bockenhauer, D. Bongartz, J. Hromkovic, R. Klasing, G. Proietti, S. Seibert, and W. Unger. On k -connectivity problems with sharpened triangle inequality. *J. of Discrete Algorithms*, 6:605–617, 2008.
- [3] L. S. Chandran and L. S. Ram. Approximation for atsp with parametrized triangle inequality. *STACS*, pages 227–237, 2002.
- [4] J. Cheriyan and R. Thurimella. Approximating minimum-size k -connected spanning subgraphs via matching. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 30:292–301, 1996.
- [5] J. Cheriyan and A. Vetta. Approximation algorithms for network design with metric costs. In *STOC*, pages 167–175, 2005.
- [6] J. Chuzhoy and S. Khanna. Algorithms for single-source vertex-connectivity. In *FOCS*, pages 105–114, 2008.
- [7] J. Chuzhoy and S. Khanna. An $O(k^3 \log n)$ -approximation algorithms for vertex-connectivity network design. Manuscript, 2009.
- [8] Y. Dodis and S. Khanna. Design networks with bounded pairwise distance. In *STOC*, pages 750–759, 1999.
- [9] G. Kortsarz and Z. Nutov. Approximating node connectivity problems via set covers. *Algorithmica*, 37:75–92, 2003.
- [10] G. Kortsarz and Z. Nutov. Approximating minimum-cost connectivity problems. In T. F. Gonzalez, editor, Chapter 58 in *Approximation Algorithms and Metaheuristics*. Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2007.
- [11] Y. Lando and Z. Nutov. Inapproximability of survivable networks. In *APPROX*, pages 146–152, 2009. To appear in *Theoretical Computer Science*.
- [12] Z. Nutov. An almost $O(\log k)$ -approximation for k -connected subgraphs. In *SODA*, pages 912–921, 2009.

- [13] A. Schrijver. *Combinatorial Optimization, Polyhedra and Efficiency*. Springer-Verlag Berlin, Heidelberg New York, 2004.